

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/752,391	01/06/2004	William A. Allen	02103-581001 / AABOSW35	3571	
26162 75	590 07/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022			BROWN, V	BROWN, VERNAL U	
	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2612		
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/752,391	ALLEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Vernal U. Brown	2612	

	Vernal U. Brown	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 July 2006</u>. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS 	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	sal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	*	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	//	NO(S) NOY R. GARBER ORY PATENT EXAMIN	IER
	301 4117	こうしん とうごう ケイ スタリリ	,

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding applicant argument regarding indication of the value currently representative of a preset sound signal source, the reference of van Ee et al. teaches enabling a user to view macros for controlling sound signal sources (col. 2 lines 20-40). van Ee al. teaches values representative of the audio source such as the the channel the TV is tune to (col. 4 lines 10-15) and the delay time showing the time given for user intervention during the execution of the macro (col. 3 lines 8-13). The presets of the audio source as disclosed by van Ee et al. is consistent with the applicant description of the preset on page 4. which include the channel selection and other control options, van Ee et al. also teaches an indication of a possible new value representative of a different sound source (eg. TV, VCR) of the preset by showing the sign to increment or decrement the delay time (col. 4 lines 8-18).